

Petitioner: Martin Lynch
We the People Court Services
Legislative Committee Chairman – AZFR
1120 W Broadway Rd #55, Tempe AZ, 85282
602-550-6304
MDL2222222222@gmail.com



Returning Power and
Constitutional Authorities of Self Government
to the People

IN THE SUPREME COURT

STATE OF ARIZONA

PETITION to remove Puppet ¹ Oath from)	Supreme Court
AZ Supreme Court Rule 32)	Petition Number
)	R-20-0034
_____)	

To the Honorable Chief Justice Brutinel of the Arizona State Supreme Court,

¶1 The proposed restyled AZ Supreme Court Rule 32(c)(4)(ii) for the regulation of the Legal Profession must be amended to bring Rule 32 into conformance with US Constitution Art 6 Clause 3 as follows.

(ii) Upon admission to the state bar, a lawyer applicant shall also, in open court, take and subscribe an oath to support the constitution of the United States and the constitution and laws of the State of Arizona ~~in the form provided by the supreme court.~~

The same problem exists in both the old and new SC Rule 32. Lawyers in other States like Michigan swear an oath to the Constitution and they seem to be OK.

¶2 The People come into the Justice system expecting Justice. Instead they spend enormous money on Lawyers who do not work for or represent them. They

¹ Coined by Rep John Allen, Chairman AZ House Judiciary Committee

work for and represent the Judicial Branch of Government. No Attorneys may defend the Constitutional rights of their clients because they must first defend their license to practice law. Whatever discretion is left over for the client is found exclusively in the State Court Rules and perhaps State Statutes. To intentionally mislead the public that their Constitutional Rights will be defended might be a violation of 18 USC § 1341 “Fraud”.

¶3 A big reason for the 80% Pro Se rate in Family Court is that many People recognize the non-sense of squandering their life savings for an Attorney to make their life worse. One example: no attorney would dare to defend the rights of their clients using AZ Constitution Art 2 Sect 23 “Inviolable” because they would be disbarred and everybody knows this fact to be true. 84% of litigants in Juvenile Court report feeling they were “sold out” by their own attorney after their children have been seized.

¶4 We have Petitioned the State Courts:

- R-20-0026 Attorney Regulation
- R-20-0029 Enforce Perjury – Truth in the Courtroom
- R-20-0021 Juries in Family Court
- R-20-0024 Juries in Juvenile Court
- R-20-0027 Juries in Probate Court

All are being ignored as all such Petitions submitted by the People have been for the past 4 years.

¶5 We have Petitioned the State Legislature:

- SB1678 and SCR1050 Attorney Regulation
- SB1680 Enforce Perjury – Truth in the Courtroom
- SB1677 Juries in Family Court
- SCR1049 Juries in Juvenile Court
- SCR1049 Juries in Probate

All were denied debate or a hearing by Sen Eddie Farnsworth who is a licensed Attorney and has sworn an oath to something other than the Constitution.

¶6 This is no problem as we merely escalate these matters to the proper authorities. See Art 4 Sect 4 of the US Constitution. Of course, under Art 6 of the AZ Constitution the AZ Chief Justice has the authority to change any State Court Rule on any given day via his signature on an administrative order.

¶7 The Courts seem reluctant to reform themselves as described by Judge John Molloy in his book “The Fraternity – Lawyers and Judges in Collusion” (Presiding Judge, Arizona State Court of Appeals Division 2) who drafted Miranda v State of AZ which was later affirmed by SCOTUS “Miranda Rights”. We merely seek to effectuate simple and obvious reforms found on page 228.

Sincerely,
/s/ Martin Lynch

March 30, 2020

Certificate of Distribution
includes but is not limited to

President Donald Trump
1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20500

US AG William Barr
950 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20530

Limited Application of Juries as described herein is the intellectual property of © WTPCS LLC 2019 2020