

It's exactly contrary.

Rule 38 (b) 7, A) V; to practice as a foreign legal consultant says:

*7. Scope of practice.*

*A. A person licensed to practice as a foreign legal consultant under this rule may render legal services in this state subject, however, to the limitations that he or she shall not:*

...

*V. Prepare any instrument in respect to marital relations, rights or duties of a resident of the United States of America or the custody or care of the children of a resident;*

TEXT OF PROPOSED RULE CHANGE

*7. Scope of practice.*

*A. A person licensed to practice as a foreign legal consultant under this rule may render legal services in this state subject, however, to the limitations that he or she shall not:*

*V. Prepare any instrument in respect to marital relations, rights or duties of a resident of the United States of America or the custody or care of the children of resident, before any magistrate or other judicial officer, in this state*

Under these conditions apparently Foreign Legal Consultants cannot assist customer who lives here and this is the reason which I propose change petition; rule should not be that because international laws are beyond of jurisdiction Supreme Court of Arizona.

If foreign legal consultants can practice law, prepare documents or provide advice on the laws of his or her countries, I think they should prepare any instrument in respect to marital relations, rights or duties of a resident of the United States of America or the custody or care of the children of a resident, on the laws of his or her countries from Arizona, because their legal services will be affecting in these kind of courts, marital relations that were got it in their countries.

Dated this in Phoenix, Arizona May 20, 2008

---

Sergio Horacio Urbina Hernández

Foreign Legal Consultant