

Dev K. Sethi
Writer's Direct Line: 520.545.1663
dsethi@kbsds.com

April 23, 2007

Rachelle M. Resnick
Clerk of the Court
Arizona Supreme Court
1501 W. Washington St., Room 402
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Proposed Amendments to the Rules of Civil Procedure 72-76

Dear Justices:

Our law firm exclusively represents individuals and families in tort actions. We do not do any defense work. From time-to-time we have cases that are subject to the mandatory arbitration limits, and more importantly, we see the potential changes that are being debated as creating a dangerous precedent for changing the long-standing Arizona law - - notably Bain v. Superior Court, 148 Ariz. 331, 333, 714 P.2d 824 (1986) - - and violating plaintiff's privacy rights, Arizona law and federal law in other, larger cases. Please accept this letter as our formal objection to the proposed changes. More specifically, we have read Scott Palumbo's February 13, 2007 letter and agree with his positions and join in his objections.

Very truly yours,

KINERK BEAL SCHMIDT DYER & SETHI, P.C.

Dev K. Sethi

DKS/lk
Enclosure

cc: Mike Baumstart, Chair
Committee on Compulsory Arbitration
1501 W. Washington St., #410
Phoenix, AZ 85007