

Hon. Wendy Million
Tucson City Court
103 E. Alameda Street
Tucson, AZ 85701
(520) 791-3260

**IN THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF ARIZONA**

In the Matter of:)	
)	Supreme Court No. R-13-0002
Petition to Amend Rule 123,)	
Rules of the Supreme Court)	Comment to Petition to Amend
_____)	Rule 123, Rules of the Supreme Court

The Committee on the Impact of Domestic Violence and the Courts (CIDVC), by a majority vote, has authorized the undersigned, the Honorable Wendy Million, a CIDVC member, to file this comment to Petition No. R-13-0002 on the committee’s behalf. Judge Million is acting in the absence of the Honorable Emmet Ronan, CIDVC chair, who is on medical leave.

CIDVC supports the petition of the Advisory Committee on Supreme Court Rules 123 and 125 to amend Rule 123, Rules of the Supreme Court, to identify those minute entries and orders that can be published online and those that can be made available only at a courthouse.

Federal law, at 18 U.S.C. § 2265(d)(3), prohibits a state, Indian tribe, or territory from making publicly available on the Internet “any information regarding the registration, filing of a petition for, or issuance of a protection order ... if such publication would be likely to publicly reveal the identity or location of the party protected under such order.”

The proposed modification to Rule 123 makes it clear that case information that is made available to the general public by remote electronic access excludes protective order information in civil, criminal, and family law cases. Adoption of the proposed rule change will ensure that, in

these case types, information about the registration, filing of a petition for, or issuance of an order of protection or an injunction against harassment will not be publicly available on the Internet.

For the reasons stated above, CIDVC respectfully requests the Court to adopt Petition No. R-13-0002.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of March, 2013.

Hon. Wendy Million
City Magistrate
Tucson City Court

cc: Mike Baumstark, Chair
Advisory Committee on Supreme Court Rules 123 and 125