

Vice Chief Justice Ann A. Scott Timmer, Chair  
Attorney Regulation Advisory Committee  
Arizona Supreme Court  
1501 W. Washington St.  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

**IN THE SUPREME COURT  
STATE OF ARIZONA**

In the Matter of:

PETITION TO AMEND RULE 56(c),  
RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT  
OF ARIZONA

Supreme Court No. R-21-0043

Comment of the Attorney Regulation  
Advisory Committee

Pursuant to Rule 28, Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, the Attorney Regulation Advisory Committee (ARC) respectfully submits this comment in support of the above-referenced proposed rule amendment for all the same reasons the Court originally adopted this provision in 2019. Restoring the ability of Bar disciplinary counsel to enter diversion agreements during the investigative stage not only restores an efficient tool that benefits both Respondent lawyers and disciplinary counsel but allows ADPCC to generally focus its monthly agenda and review on allegations of often more serious ethical violations. For reference, ADPCC incorporates its comment in support filed on October 21, 2019 under R-19-0040.

ARC respectfully requests that this Court adopt the amendments to Rule 56(c), Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, as proposed.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 29th day of April, 2022.



Ann A. Scott Timmer, Chair  
Attorney Regulation Advisory Committee